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A series of 3 -phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2 and 3-phenylquinazoline$2,4(1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H})$-diones 5 substituted on the phenyl rings were synthesized. The target compounds as well as the intermediates were tested against Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. kansasii, and $M$. avium. The replacement of the oxygen atom by nitrogen resulted in a decrease or loss of antimycobacterial activity. 2-[(Ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilides 4 appeared to be inactive. Salicylanilides 1 and 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2 exhibit significant activity against both M. tuberculosis and nontuberculous mycobacteria (the MICs within the range of $4-250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ for all compounds). The antimycobacterial activity of the compounds increases with increasing both electron-withdrawing properties and hydrophobicity of the substituent(s) on the phenyl moiety. The antimycobacterial profile of the compounds was analyzed according to the criteria based on vector algebra, such as cosine coefficients. Moreover, salicylanilides $\mathbf{1}$ exhibit activity against other microorganisms tested by the agar diffusion method.
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+ For Part 17, see ref. ${ }^{1}$

The search for substances active against Mycobacterium avium is presently one of the primary tasks of medicinal chemistry. Disseminated infection with the M. avium complex is the most common systematic bacterial infection complicating AIDS (ref. ${ }^{2}$ ). In the Czech Republic, an increase in the occurrence of $M$. kansasii has been observed in some areas ${ }^{3}$.

We have reported on a series of 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)diones and their 6-mono- and 6,8-dihalogeno derivatives as a novel group of antimycobacterially active compounds ${ }^{4}$. Recently, initial studies of struc-ture-activity relationships of 6-halogeno derivatives have been published ${ }^{5}$. Besides the influence of the halogen substituent, the studies proved that the activity of the compounds against M. tuberculosis and M. kansasii increases with increasing electron-acceptor properties of substituent(s) on the phenyl ring. On the contrary, for an adequate explanation of physicochemical influences on inhibition of $M$. tuberculosis and nontuberculous mycobacteria, both electronic and hydrophobic terms must be considered in 6,8-dihalogeno compounds ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$. Other biological properties of 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H )-diones were al so reviewed ${ }^{6}$.
As an extension of our synthetic study of 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine$2,4(3 \mathrm{H})$-diones, a group of isosteric 3 -phenylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-diones substituted on the 3-phenyl ring was also investigated. The present report describes their synthesis and the activity of these compounds, as well as of other synthesized intermediates, against Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. kansasii, and M. avium. With new antimycobacterial data at hand, the quantitative structure-activity relationships were reinvestigated. Furthermore, the results of antimicrobial screening of some of these compounds are reported. In addition, the compounds were evaluated with regard to the considered therapeutical use, i.e., as broad-spectrum antimycobacterial agents. For the sake of clarity, a very brief outline of this procedure is also provided.

No drug produces only a single effect: a drug is adequately described only by means of the full profile of effects. As a measure of i-th biological activity, the logarithm of the reciprocal value of molar concentration $C_{i}$ of the evaluated compound required to produce i-th effect in the predetermined intensity is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=\log 1 / C_{i}=-\log C_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each compound is then represented by an activity vector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{n}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where n is the number of the activities studied.
The evaluation of the compounds with regard to the considered therapeutical use is based on the idea of the comparison with an "ideal" drug of predefined profile of activities making full use of the vector representation. Accordingly, the "ideal" drug is represented by vector B,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, more conveniently, by the unit vector $\mathbf{U}(\mathrm{g}(\mathbf{U})=1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{U}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathbf{B} / \mathrm{g}(\mathbf{B}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Euclidean norm of vector, e.g. A,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\mathbf{A})=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then characterizes the size of the vector.
For the purpose of an evaluation, a complex criterion S was proposed ${ }^{7}$ as equal to scalar (dot) product of vectors representing both compounds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}=(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term "complex" is given by the fact that the criterion S can be decomposed into two components,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=g(\mathbf{A}) k(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of which the first concerns the evaluated compound itself and the other reflects its similarity to the "ideal" drug. The former is the above mentioned Euclidean norm of the activity vector, $g(\mathbf{A})$, as a measure of the overall po-
tency of the evaluated compound and the latter is the cosine coefficient $\mathrm{k}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U})=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{g}(\mathbf{A}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a measure of the relative similarity of the evaluated compound to the "ideal" drug.
The following two situations are of great importance. In the case of broad-spectrum drugs, the "ideal" drug is represented by the unit vector $\mathbf{U}_{0}$; its components are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0 i}=1 / \sqrt{n}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the formula for calculating the complex criterion $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of the selectivity of the s-th effect, the "ideal" drug is represented by the unit vector $\mathbf{U}_{5}$, the components of which are given by

$$
U_{s i}=\left\{\begin{align*}
(n-1) / \sqrt{n(n-1)} & \text { for } i=s,  \tag{11}\\
-1 / \sqrt{n(n-1)} & \text { for } i \neq s, i=1, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The negative sign attributed to all but the s-th effect reflects the fact that they are considered to be undesired. The formula for calculating the complex criteria $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (complex selectivities) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{s}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}\left(n A_{s}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right), \text { where } s=1, \ldots, n . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The respective cosine coefficients $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are then calculated according to Eq. (8).

The application of Hansch or Free-Wilson approach to the complex criteria $S$ is evident ${ }^{7}$.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The melting points were determined on a Kofler apparatus and are uncorrected. The samples for analysis and antimycobacterial tests were dried over $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ at $61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 66 Pa for 24 h . Elemental analyses were performed on a CHNS-O CE elemental analyser (Fisions EA 1110, Milano). The IR spectra were measured in KBr pellets on a Nicolet Impact 400 apparatus; the wavenumbers are given in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of new compounds were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions (with the exception of compounds $\mathbf{1 j}, \mathbf{2 k}, \mathbf{5 c} \mathbf{- 5}$, and $\mathbf{5 f}$ which were measured in $\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ at ambient temperature on a Varian Mercury-Vx BB 300 spectrometer operating at 300 MHz . Chemical shifts were recorded as $\delta$ values and were indirectly referenced to tetramethylsilane (for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 7.26$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 2.49 in ( $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$. The purity of the compounds was checked by TLC (Silufol UV254, Kavalier, Votice, Czech Republic) in ben-zene-acetone ( $1: 3$ ) for compounds $\mathbf{1}$, in cyclohexane-acetone ( $1: 3$ ) for compounds $\mathbf{2}$, and in chloroform-acetone (1:3 and 1:9) for compounds 3-5, using UV detection.

## Salicylanilides 1a-1I

The title compounds were synthesized from salicylic acid and the respective aniline as described previously ${ }^{4 b}$.

Salicylanilide (1a). M.p. I33-135 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref..$^{8}$ m.p. $136-137{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ref. ${ }^{9}$ m.p. $134-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1612.
$4^{\prime}$-M ethylsalicylanilide (1b). M.p. $154-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $156-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1603.
$4^{\prime}$-Bromosalicylanilide (1c). M.p. $168-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $169-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1614.
$4^{\prime}-$ M ethoxysalicylanilide (1d). M.p. $158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{10}$ m.p. $159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1628.
$4^{\prime}$-Chlorosalicylanilide (le). M.p. $165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $168-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1615.
$3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-Dichlorosalicylanilide (1f). M.p. 213-215 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{11}$ m.p. 213-216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1614.
$3^{\prime}$-Chlorosalicylanilide (1g). M.p. $173-175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $175-177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1616.
$3^{\prime}$-Fluorosalicylanilide (1h). M.p. 151-153 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{FNO}_{2}$ (231.2) calculated: $67.53 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.36 \% \mathrm{H}, 6.06 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $67.53 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.60 \% \mathrm{H}, 6.11 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1615 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 11.81 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OH}) ; 8.00 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 7.59-7.42 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-2{ }^{\prime}\right) ; 7.39-7.29 \mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 7.26-7.21 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.07-7.01 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3) ; 6.97-6.86 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right)$.
$4^{\prime}$-Fluorosalicylanilide (1i). M.p. $158-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{12}$ m.p. $160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1619.
$3^{\prime}-$ Nitrosalicylanilide (1j). M.p. 220-221 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (258.2) calculated: $60.47 \% \mathrm{C}$, $3.90 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.85 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $60.47 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.00 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.87 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1643 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)$ : $10.70 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OH}) ; 8.80-8.70 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right) ; 8.10-8.01 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right) ; 8.00-7.92 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-6'); 7.92-7.86 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6) ; 7.62 \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0\left(\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 7.48-7.39 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 7.03-6.92 \mathrm{~m}$, 2 H (H-3, H-5).
$4^{\prime}$-N itrosalicylanilide (1k). M.p. 233-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{13} 230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1616.
$4^{\prime}$-(Dimethylamino)salicylanilide (11). M.p. $150-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (256.3) calculated: $70.29 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.29 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.93 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $69.97 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.46 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.14 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1615 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 12.23 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OH}) ; 7.83 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 7.53-7.47 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6) ; 7.47-7.41 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-4); 7.41-7.34 m (AA'BB'), $2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.05-6.99 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3) ; 6.94-6.86 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-5); 6.78-6.71 m (AA'BB'), $2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 2.95 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}\left(2 \times\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$.

## 3-Phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2a-2I

The title compounds were synthesized from salicylanilides $\mathbf{1}$ as described previously ${ }^{4 b}$.

3-Phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2a). M.p. $246-247{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $247-248{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1 770, 1693.

3-(4-M ethylphenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2b). M.p. $224-225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $226-227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: $1761,1697$.

3-(4-Bromophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2c). M.p. $250-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $247-248{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: $1772,1695$.

3-(4-M ethoxyphenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2d). M.p. 206-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p}$. 207-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: $1763,1701$.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2e). M.p. $242-244{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. 243-244 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1772 , 1708.

3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2f). M.p. 212-214 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$. 192-195 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ (308.1) calculated: $54.57 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.29 \% \mathrm{H}, 4.55 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $54.55 \%$ C, $2.36 \% \mathrm{H}, 4.34 \%$ N. IR: $1774,1712$.

3-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2g). M.p. 196-198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{8}$ m.p. $198-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: $1756,1709$.

3-(3-Fluorophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2h). M.p. 192-193 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}$ (257.2) calculated: $65.37 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.13 \% \mathrm{H}, 5.45 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $65.72 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.27 \% \mathrm{H}, 5.55 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}$ : 1 769, 1 697. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.16-8.08 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.82-7.72 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-7) ; 7.56-7.32 \mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 7.25-7.16 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right) ; 7.16-7.02 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right)$.

3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2i). M.p. 237-238 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}$ (257.2) calculated: $65.37 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.13 \% \mathrm{H}, 5.45 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $65.33 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.34 \% \mathrm{H}, 5.48 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}$ : $1772,1709 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.17-8.09 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.82-7.73 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-7) ; 7.46-7.34 \mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-8) ; 7.34-7.17 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right)$.

3-(3-Nitrophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2j). M.p. 204-206 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (284.2) calculated: $59.16 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.84 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.86 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $58.72 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.81 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.76 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}$ : $1768,1705 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.41-8.32 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right) ; 8.28-8.21 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right) ; 8.17-8.10 \mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.85-7.64 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.51-7.35 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-8)$.

3-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2k). M.p. $250-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (284.2) calculated: $59.16 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.84 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.86 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $58.73 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.09 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.97 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: 1767,1 707. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): 8.43-8.33 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right), 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 5^{\prime}\right) ; 8.04-7.97 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-5); 7.92-7.83 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-7) ; 7.80-7.72 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right), 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.55-7.44 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6$, H-8).

3-(4-Dimethylaminophenyl)-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-dione (2I). M.p. 302-303 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (282.3) calculated: $68.08 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.00 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.92 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $68.22 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.08 \% \mathrm{H}$, $9.93 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1760,1706 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.17-8.11 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.78-7.69 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-7); 7.44-7.31 m, 2 H (H-6, H-8); 7.18-7.10 m (AA'BB'), 2 H (H-2', H-6'); 6.85-6.77 m ( $\left.\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right), 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 3.01 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Preparation of Anthranilanilides 3a-3h. General Procedure

A suspension of 2-(carboxyamino)benzoic anhydride ( $7.2 \mathrm{~g}, 44.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in glacial acetic acid ( 300 ml ) was added gradually to a stirred solution of the corresponding aniline ( 44.2 mmol ) in the same solvent ( 100 ml ). The stirred mixture was slowly heated to its reflux temperature and then poured into water (2 I). After 12 h , the product was filtered off and crystallized from aqueous ethanol (yield 70-85\%).

Anthranilanilide (3a). M.p. $123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{15}$ m.p. $125-126.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1644.
$4^{\prime}$-M ethylanthranilanilide (3b). M.p. $149-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{15}$ m.p. $150-151{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1635.
$4^{\prime}$-Bromoanthranilanilide (3c). M.p. 161-163 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{15}$ m.p. 148-149 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (267.1) calculated: $53.63 \%$ C, $3.81 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.62 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $53.15 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.97 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.42 \%$ N. IR: $1637 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.79 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 7.50-7.40 \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ (H-6, H-2', H-3', H-5', H-6'); 7.30-7.22 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 6.75-6.67 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-5) ; 5.49 \mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$.
$4^{\prime}$-M ethoxyanthranilanilide (3d). M.p. $123-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .125-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1635.
$3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-Dichloroanthranilanilide (3e). M.p. $146-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{16}$ m.p. $142-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (281.1) calculated: $55.54 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.59 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.96 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $55.87 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.20 \% \mathrm{H}$, 9.43 \% N. IR: 1638.
$4^{\prime}$-Fluoroanthranilanilide (3f). M.p. $131-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (216.2) calculated: $67.82 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.82 \% \mathrm{H}, 12.17 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $67.39 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.97 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.97 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}: 1630 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.78 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 7.55-7.48 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right), 7.48-7.43 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6) ; 7.30-7.22 \mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 7.11-7.01 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 6.75-6.65 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-5) ; 5.49 \mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$.
$3^{\prime}$-Nitroanthranilanilide (3g). M.p. 189-190 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (257.2) calculated: 4.31\% C, 60.70\% H, 16.33\% N; found: 60.25\% C, 4.49\% H, 16.22\% N. IR: 1633.
$3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-Dimethylanthranilanilide (3h). M.p. 114-116 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (240.3) calculated: $74.97 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.71 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.66 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $75.1 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.58 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.86 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1636 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.66 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 7.49-7.42 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6) ; 7.40-7.33 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right) ; 7.31-7.20 \mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.15-7.08 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 6.75-6.65 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-5) ; 5.50 \mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$; $2.27 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.25 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Preparation of 2-[(Ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilides 4a-4h. General Procedure
Ethyl chloroformate ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 9.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of an appropriate anilide $3(7.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 15 ml ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was heated on a water bath for 2 h and then poured into water ( 100 ml ). After 12 h , the product was filtered off and crystallized from aqueous ethanol (yield $75-85 \%$ ).

2-[(Ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4a). M.p. $155-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{17}$ m.p. $153-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: 1 738, $1636 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $9.99 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.18 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.32-8.25 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6)$; 7.66-7.59 m, 2 H (H-2', H-6'); 7.56-7.50 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3) ; 7.47-7.36 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ;$ $7.24-7.15 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-4{ }^{\prime}\right) ; 7.07-6.98 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 4.21 \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.16, \mathrm{~J}=7.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 1.31 \mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

4'-M ethyl-2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4b). M.p. 162-164 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (298.3) calculated: $68.44 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.08 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.39 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $68.65 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.59 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.81 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1706,1659 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 10.00 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.30 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.30-8.15 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-6); 7.55-7.45 m, 3 H (H-3, H-2', H-6'); 7.44-7.32 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.24-7.15 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right), 2 \mathrm{H}$ (H-3', H-5'); 7.05-6.93 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 4.20 \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.28$, J $=7.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.35 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; $1.30 \mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

4'-Bromo-2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4c). M.p. 156-158 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (363.2) calculated: $52.91 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.16 \% \mathrm{H}, 7.71 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $53.14 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.45 \% \mathrm{H}, 7.41 \% \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{IR}$ : $1702,1655 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $9.86 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.40 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.22-8.14 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6)$; 7.65-7.43 m, 5 H (H-3, H-2', H-3', H-5', H-6'); 7.42-7.31 m, 1 H (H-5); 7.05-6.93 m, 1 H $(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 4.23 \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.29, \mathrm{~J}=7.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 1.33 \mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

4'-M ethoxy-2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4d). M.p. $164-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (314.3) calculated: $64.96 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.77 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.91 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $64.91 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.77 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.84 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}$ : $1739,1635 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 10.08 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.03 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.35-8.25 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-6); 7.60-7.47 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3) ; 7.53-7.48 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right), 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.47-7.37 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$
(H-5); 7.10-6.98 m, 1 H (H-4); 6.95-6.90 m (AÁB'), 2 H (H-3', H-5'); 4.20 q, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.10$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.82 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 1.29 \mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

3',4'-Dichloro-2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4e). M.p. 174-176 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (353.2) calculated: $54.41 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.00 \% \mathrm{H}, 7.93 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $54.53 \% \mathrm{C}$, $3.80 \% \mathrm{H}, 7.94 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}: 1727,1673 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $9.80 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.45 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH})$; 8.20-8.13 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6) ; 7.98-7.93 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right) ; 7.50-7.41 \mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathbf{6}^{\prime}\right)$; $7.42-7.32 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.05-6.95 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 4.25 \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.28, \mathrm{~J}=7.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 1.34 \mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

4'-Fluoro-2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4f). M.p. 163-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (302.3) calculated: $63.57 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.00 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.27 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $63.30 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.98 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.24 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}$ : $1707,1656 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $9.95 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.22 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.28-8.23 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6)$; $7.65-7.55 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.55-7.47 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-3) ; 7.45-7.35 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.15-6.97 \mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 4.22 \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.29$, J $=7.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 1.31 \mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$3^{\prime}$-N itro-2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4g). M.p. 183-185 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (329.3) calculated: $58.36 \%$ C, $4.59 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.97 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $57.86 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.39 \% \mathrm{H}, 12.78 \%$ N. IR: 1 725, 1659.
$3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-Dimethyl-2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilide (4h). M.p. 133-135 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (312.4) calculated: $69.21 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.45 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.97 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $69.56 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.22 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.63 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1717,1663 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 10.06 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.02 \mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 8.36-8.26 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-6); 7.56-7.48 m, 1 H (H-3); 7.48-7.35 m, 2 H (H-5, H-2'); 7.35-7.27 m, 1 H (H-6'); $7.18-7.10 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 7.07-6.96 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-4) ; 4.20 \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.28, \mathrm{~J}=7.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.28 \mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.26 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1.30 \mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Preparation of 3-Phenylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-diones 5a-5h. General Procedure
An appropriate benzanilide $4(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ was heated at $250-270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10-20 \mathrm{~min}$. The product was crystallized from aqueous acetone (yield 75-85\%).

3-Phenylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5a). M.p. 280-281 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{18}$ m.p. 295-296 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ref. ${ }^{19}$ m.p. $272{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (238.2) calculated: $70.58 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.23 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.75 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $70.18 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.18 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.85 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}: 1724,1672 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.17-8.13 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-5), 7.60-7.46 m, $4 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 4^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 7.35-7.30 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 7.28-7.20 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-6); 6.94-6.89 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-8)$.

3-(4-M ethylphenyl)quinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5b). M.p. 275-277 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{20}$ m.p. 263-265 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (252.3) calculated: $71.42 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.79 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.10 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $71.34 \% \mathrm{C}$, $4.85 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.17 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}: 1720,1672 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.17-8.08 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.53-7.43 \mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-7) ; 7.38-7.31 \mathrm{~m}$ ( $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ ), 2 H (H-2', H-6'); 7.24-7.16 m, 3 H (H-6, H-3', H-5'); $6.85-6.78 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-8) ; 10.19 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 2.45 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

3-(4-Bromophenyl)quinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5c). M.p. $329-330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{21}$ m.p. $297{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (317.1) calculated: $53.02 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.85 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.83 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $52.85 \% \mathrm{C}$, $2.83 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.83 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}: 1723,1672 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): 7.94-7.87 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.71-7.62 \mathrm{~m}$, 3 H (H-7, H-3', H-5'); 7.32-7.25 m, 2 H (H-2', H-6'); 7.24-7.15 m, 2 H (H-6, H-8).

3-(4-M ethoxyphenyl)quinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5d). M.p. $311-312{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{20}$ m.p. $306-308{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (268.3) calculated: $67.16 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.51 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.44 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $66.99 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.44 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.43 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}: 1720,1669 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ((CD $\left.\left.)_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): 7.95-7.88 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ (H-5); 7.72-7.63 m, 1 H (H-7); 7.25-7.16 m, 4 H (H-6, H-8, H-2', H-6'); 7.04-6.96 m, 2 H (H-3', H-5').

3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)quinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5e). M.p. $328-329{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{21}$ m.p. $317{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (307.1) calculated: $54.57 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.94 \% \mathrm{H}, 9.09 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $54.76 \% \mathrm{C}$, $2.69 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.94 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1725,1674 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): 7.95-7.88 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.78-7.66 \mathrm{~m}$, 3 H (H-7, H-2', H-5'); 7.48-7.36 m, 1 H (H-6'); 7.26-7.18 m, 2 H (H-6, H-8).

3-(4-Fluorophenyl)quinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5f). M.p. 280-281 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{21}$ m.p. 272-274 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (256.2) calculated: $65.62 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.54 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.93 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $65.22 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.49 \% \mathrm{H}$, $10.95 \% \mathrm{~N}$. IR: $1724,1672 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right): 7.92 \mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1$, J = $1.5(\mathrm{H}-5)$; 7.73-7.64 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-7) ; 7.41-7.17 \mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-8+\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}\right)$.

3-(3-Nitrophenyl)quinazoline-2,4( $1 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ )-dione ( $\mathbf{5 g}$ ). M.p. $352-353^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ref. ${ }^{21}$ m.p. $>350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (283.2) calculated: $59.37 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.20 \% \mathrm{H}, 14.84 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $59.02 \% \mathrm{C}, 3.25 \% \mathrm{H}$, 14.64\% N. IR: 1 724, 1676.

3-(3,4-Dimethylphenyl)quinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-dione (5h). M.p. $262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (266.3) calculated: $72.17 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.86 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.52 \% \mathrm{~N}$; found: $72.15 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.85 \% \mathrm{H}, 10.56 \% \mathrm{~N} . \operatorname{IR}$ : 1 727, 1 674. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 8.17-8.10 m, $1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-5) ; 7.52-7.43 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-7) ; 7.33-7.27 \mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right) ; 7.25-7.15 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-6) ; 7.11-7.02 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}\right) ; 6.88-6.80 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}-8)$; $10.05 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{NH}) ; 2.33 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.29 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Microbiological Assays

## Antimycobacterial Activity

For the evaluation of the antimycobacterial activity of the substances in vitro, the following strains were used: Mycobacterium tuberculosis CNCTC My 331/88, M. kansasii CNCTC My 235/80, and M. avium CNCTC My 330/88, obtained from the Czech National Collection of Type Cultures (CNCTC), National Institute of Public Health, Prague, and a clinic isolate of M. kansasii 6509/96. Antimycobacterial activity of the compounds against these strains was determined in the Šula semisynthetic medium (SEVAC, Prague). Each strain was simultaneously inoculated into a Petri dish containing the Löwenstein-Jensen medium for the control of the sterility of the inoculum and its growth. The compounds were added to the medium in dimethyl sulfoxide solutions. The following concentrations were used: 1000 , $500,250,125,62,31,16,8$, and $4 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{I}$. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined after incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 and 21 days. MIC was the lowest concentration of a substance, at which the inhibition of the growth occurred. Isoniazid was used as standard.

## General Antimicrobial Activity

Antimicrobial activity was determined by the agar diffusion test ${ }^{22}$. The Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, as well as the fungi used were from the culture collections (Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC 1031, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27922) or from the stock of the Hans Knöll Institute of Natural Products Research (Mycobacterium smegmatis SG 987, Staphylococcus aureus SG 511, P. aeruginosa SG 137, Serratia marcescens SG 621, and Sporobolomyces salmonicolor 549). Penetration mutant DC 2 and its parent strain E. coli DC 0 were described by Richmond et al. ${ }^{23}$, Pseudomonas aeruginosa K799/WT and its penetration mutant K799/61 by Zimmermann ${ }^{24}$. Stenotrophomas meltophilia GN 12873 was kindly provided by Prof. S. Mitsuhashi, Eisome Institute, Gunma, Japan. Azlocilline was used as standard.

## Calculations

## Log P Calculations

The calculations of log P values were carried out with the HyperChem Suite for Windows (release 5.1), module ChemPlus 1.6 using atomic parameters derived by Ghose et al. ${ }^{25}$ and later extended ${ }^{26}$.

## Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship Study (QSARs)

All regression equations were calculated with the use of the Multireg H program (Prof. P. Klemera) for Microsoft Excel. The values of Hammett constants $\sigma$ and hydrophobic substituent constants $\pi$ were taken from the literature ${ }^{27}$.

## Antimycobacterial Profile Evaluation

For this purpose, logarithms of reciprocal values of minimum inhibitory concentrations were calculated as if expressed in $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ to obtain only positive values. Activities against Mycobacterium tuberculosis CNCTC My 331/88, M. kansasii CNCTC My 235/80, and M. avium CNCTC My 330/88 form the components of vector $\mathbf{A}$ (in the given order) representing the evaluated compound. Subscripts 1,2 , and 3 are used to further indicate the respective strain. Complex criteria $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ (Eq. (10)), $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ (Eq. (12)) and the norm $\mathrm{g}(\mathbf{A})$ (Eq. (5)) were used for the calculation of the respective cosine coefficients $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ to $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ (Eq. (8)).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The starting salicylanilides $\mathbf{1}$ were prepared from salicylic acid and an appropriate aniline in chlorobenzene. Treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with ethyl chloroformate yielded the corresponding 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2 (Scheme 1). All salicylanilides 1 showed IR bands at 1 603-1 $643 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are characteristic of the amide $\mathrm{C}=0$ group, and 1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H )-diones 2 showed characteristic absorption maxima of two $\mathrm{C}=0$ groups at $1770-1774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1693-1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The starting



1

| $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ | R |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | $3-\mathrm{F}$ |

(ii)


| $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | 4-F |
| $\mathbf{j}$ | 3- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{k}$ | 4- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{l}$ | 4-N(CH3$)_{2}$ |

(i) substituted aniline, $\mathrm{PCl}_{3} /$ chlorobenzene; (ii) ethyl chloroformate/pyridine

Scheme 1
anthranilanilides 3 were prepared from 2-(carboxyamino)benzoic anhydride and appropriate anilines in glacial acetic acid. Treatment of $\mathbf{3}$ with ethyl chloroformate yielded 2-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilides 4, which were thermally cyclized to 3-phenylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-diones 5 (Scheme 2). This method gives products of higher purity and in higher yields as compared with other methods. Anthranilanilides 3 showed characteristic bands of $\mathrm{C}=0$ groups at $1630-1644 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2$-[(ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilides 4 at $1635-1673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1702-1738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and 3-phenylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-diones 5 at $1669-1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1720-$ $1727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Most of the products have already been reported in the literature ${ }^{8-21}$. The spectroscopic data of the new compounds ( $\mathbf{l h}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 h}-\mathbf{2 l}$, $\mathbf{3 f}-\mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{4 b} \mathbf{- 4 h}$, and $\mathbf{5 h}$ ) as well as of compounds with melting points different from the literature ones, are in agreement with the assigned structures. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 4 '-substituted compounds $\mathbf{2}$ will be published separately $y^{28}$.



(iii)

(i) substituted aniline/glacial acetic acid; (ii) ethyl chloroformate/pyridine; (iii) heating

## Scheme 2

All the compounds prepared (with the exception of $\mathbf{2 h}$ ) were tested in vitro against mycobacterial strains M ycobacterium tuberculosis, M. kansasii, and M. avium obtained from the Czech National Collection of Type Cultures and a clinic isolate of M. kansasii 6509/96 (Tables I-IV). A comparison of the minimum inhibitory concentrations for anthranilanilides $\mathbf{3}$ and 3-phenylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-diones 5 (Tables III and IV) with their hydroxy/oxa analogues (Tables I and II) indicates that replacement of the oxygen atom by nitrogen resulted in a decrease or loss of the antimycobacterial activity. 2-[(Ethoxycarbonyl)amino]benzanilides 4 appeared to be completely inactive. It is worth noting that $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-dichloroanthranilanilide $\mathbf{3 e}$ displays the lowest MICs of the groups of compounds 3, 4, and 5.

The data in Tables I and II show that the values of MICs are within the range of $4-250 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}$ both for salicylanilides 1 and 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2. By comparing their MICs with that of isoniazid (INH), the most active 3,4-dichloro analogues $\mathbf{2 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 f}$ have activity against M. tuberculosis comparable to that of INH (MIC $=4 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{I}$ ). However, all the tested compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ possess activity against atypical mycobacteria greater than INH (MIC $=500 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{I})$. While nontuberculous mycobacteria are moderately susceptible towards INH, the newly prepared compounds display virtually the same activity against all the tested strains. A similar profile of antimycobacterial activity has recently been described for 4 -(benzylsulfanyl)pyridine derivatives ${ }^{29}$.

Table 1
In vitro antimycobacterial activity of salicylanilides $\mathbf{1}$ expressed as MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{I}$ )

| Compound | M. tuberculosisMy 331/88 |  | M. kansasii <br> My 235/80 |  | M. kansasii6509/96 |  | M. avium <br> My 330/88 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days |
| 1a | 62 | 62 | 125 | 250 | 62 | 125 | 62 | 125 |
| 1b | 62 | 62 | 62 | 125 | 62 | 125 | 31 | 62 |
| 1c | 16 | 31 | 16 | 31 | 16 | 16 | 31 | 31 |
| 1d | 62 | 62 | 250 | 250 | 125 | 125 | 62 | 125 |
| 1e | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 16 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 1f | 8 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 31 |
| 19 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 31 | 31 |
| 1h | 31 | 31 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 |
| 1 i | 62 | 62 | 62 | 125 | 62 | 62 | 31 | 62 |
| 1j | 16 | 16 | 62 | -62 | 16 | 31 | 31 | >31 |
| 1k | 8 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 31 | 31 |
| 11 | 250 | 250 | 250 | >250 | a | a | 125 | 250 |
| INH ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4 | 4 | 500 | 500 | a | a | 500 | 500 |

${ }^{a}$ Not determined. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isoniazid.

For the detailed evaluation of the differences in the antimycobacterial profiles of individual compounds (Tables V and VI), a complex criterion $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ characterizing equipotency of the three compared activities, complex selectivities $\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{S}_{3}$ towards the individual mycobacterial strains and their decomposition into respective cosine coefficients $\mathrm{k}_{0}-\mathrm{k}_{3}$, and the norm of activity vector representing given compound, $g(\mathbf{A})$, were used. This treatment is somewhat more complex than that in previous papers ${ }^{7}$ where the criterion $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ and its decomposition were sufficient. MICs for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. kansasii, and M. avium obtained from CNCTC were used for the calculation. For better orientation, the clinic isolate of M. kansasii was omitted, as it exhibits the same or even better susceptibility than M. kansasii from the CNCTC.

Table II
In vitro antimycobacterial activity of 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones $\mathbf{2}$ expressed as MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{I}$ )

| Compound | M. tuberculosis |  | M. kansasii |  | M. kansasii |  | M. avium |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | My 331/88 |  | My 235/80 |  | 6509/96 |  | My 330/88 |  |
|  | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days |
| 2a | 125 | 125 | 125 | 250 | 62 | 125 | 62 | 125 |
| 2b | 62 | 62 | 125 | 125 | 62 | 125 | 31 | 62 |
| 2c | 31 | 31 | 16 | 31 | 16 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 2d | 62 | 125 | 125 | 250 | 125 | 125 | 31 | 31 |
| 2e | 16 | 31 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 62 | 62 |
| $2 f$ | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| 2g | 31 | 31 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 31 | 31 |
| 2h | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | a |
| $2 i$ | 125 | 125 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 125 |
| 2j | 16 | 31 | 31 | 62 | 16 | 31 | 16 | 31 |
| 2k | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 31 |
| 21 | $>125$ | $>125$ | $>125$ | $>125$ | a | a | $>125$ | $>125$ |

[^0]Table III
In vitro antimycobacterial activity of anthranilanilides $\mathbf{3}$ expressed as MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{I}$ )

| Compound | M. tuberculosis |  | M. kansasii |  | M. kansasii |  | M. avium |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | My 331/88 |  | My 235/80 |  | 6509/96 |  | My 330/88 |  |
|  | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days |
| 3a | >1 000 | >1 000 | >1 000 | >1 000 | >1 000 | >1 000 | 500 | 500 |
| 3b | >250 | 250 | >250 | >250 | >250 | >250 | 250 | >250 |
| 3c | 125 | 125 | 125 | 125 | 62 | 125 | >125 | >125 |
| 3d | 500 | 500 | >500 | $>500$ | 500 | 500 | >250 | >250 |
| 3 e | 62 | 62 | 31 | 62 | 31 | 31 | >62 | $>62$ |
| 3 f | >250 | $>500$ | $>500$ | $>500$ | >250 | $>500$ | >125 | >250 |
| 3g | 500 | 500 | >1 000 | >1 000 | >1 000 | >1 000 | >125 | >250 |
| 3h | $>125$ | $>125$ | $>125$ | $>125$ | $>125$ | >125 | 125 | 125 |

Table IV
In vitro antimycobacterial activity of 3-phenylquinazoline-2,4(1H,3H)-diones 5 expressed as MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{I}$ )

| Compound | M. tuberculosis |  | M. kansasii |  | M. avium |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | My 331/88 |  | My 235/80 |  | My 330/88 |  |
|  | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days | 14 days | 21 days |
| 5a | 500 | >500 | 250 | >250 | >250 | >500 |
| 5b | 500 | >500 | 250 | 500 | 500 | >500 |
| 5c | 250 | >500 | >250 | >500 | >125 | >250 |
| 5d | $>125$ | >500 | >125 | >250 | $>125$ | >500 |
| 5 e | >2 | >250 | >125 | >250 | >125 | >125 |
| $5 f$ | >125 | >250 | >125 | >250 | >125 | $>125$ |
| 5 g | 250 | 200 | >125 | >250 | -62 | $>125$ |
| 5h | 250 | 500 | >250 | 500 | 250 | 250 |

The highest values of the norm $g(\mathbf{A})$ correspond to the most potent 3,4-dichloro derivatives, $\mathbf{2 f}$ and $\mathbf{l f}$. The same is true for the values of complex criterion $\mathrm{S}_{0}$, however, the non-zero values of the corresponding com-

Table V
Complex criteria $S$, norm $g(\mathbf{A})$, cosine coefficients $k$ (see the text), and $\log P$ for salicylanilides 1

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $g(A)$ | $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | $k_{3}$ | $\log \mathrm{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 days / 21 days of incubation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1a | 1.916 | 0.125 | -0.249 | 0.125 | 1.932 | 0.992 | 0.064 | -0.129 | 0.064 | 2.997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.566 | 0.372 | -0.370 | -0.002 | 1.624 | 0.965 | 0.229 | -0.228 | -0.001 |  |
| 1b | 2.266 | -0.123 | -0.123 | 0.246 | 2.279 | 0.994 | -0.054 | -0.054 | 0.108 | 3.464 |
|  | 1.916 | 0.125 | -0.249 | 0.125 | 1.932 | 0.992 | 0.064 | -0.129 | 0.064 |  |
| 1c | 2.945 | 0.117 | 0.117 | -0.234 | 2.954 | 0.997 | 0.040 | 0.040 | -0.079 | 3.788 |
|  | 2.614 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.614 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |
| 1d | 1.742 | 0.247 | -0.495 | 0.247 | 1.811 | 0.962 | 0.137 | -0.273 | 0.137 | 2.744 |
|  | 1.566 | 0.372 | -0.370 | -0.002 | 1.624 | 0.965 | 0.229 | -0.228 | -0.001 |  |
| $1 \mathrm{e}^{\text {a }}$ | 2.614 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.614 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.515 |
| $1 f$ | 3.632 | 0.000 | 0.369 | -0.369 | 3.657 | 0.993 | 0.000 | 0.101 | -0.101 | 4.033 |
|  | 3.293 | 0.240 | 0.240 | -0.480 | 3.327 | 0.990 | 0.072 | 0.072 | -0.144 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.119 | -0.006 | 0.363 | -0.357 | 3.146 | 0.991 | -0.002 | 0.115 | -0.114 | 3.515 |
| $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.266 | 0.246 | -0.123 | -0.123 | 2.279 | 0.994 | 0.108 | -0.054 | -0.054 | 3.136 |
| 1i | 2.266 | -0.123 | -0.123 | 0.246 | 2.279 | 0.994 | -0.054 | -0.054 | 0.108 | 3.136 |
|  | 1.916 | 0.125 | -0.249 | 0.125 | 1.932 | 0.992 | 0.064 | -0.129 | 0.064 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 j}^{\text {b }}$ | 2.606 | 0.357 | -0.363 | 0.006 | 2.639 | 0.988 | 0.135 | -0.138 | 0.002 | 2.950 |
| 1k | 3.119 | 0.363 | -0.006 | -0.357 | 3.146 | 0.991 | 0.115 | -0.002 | -0.114 | 2.950 |
|  | 2.945 | 0.117 | 0.117 | -0.234 | 2.954 | 0.997 | 0.040 | 0.040 | -0.079 |  |
| $11^{\text {b }}$ | 1.216 | -0.123 | -0.123 | 0.246 | 1.241 | 0.980 | -0.099 | -0.099 | 0.198 | 3.261 |
| $\mathbf{I N H}{ }^{\text {a,c }}$ | 1.732 | 1.712 | -0.856 | -0.856 | 2.435 | 0.711 | 0.703 | -0.352 | -0.352 | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ No difference between the values after 14 and 21 days of incubation. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ After 14 days of incubation. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Isoniazid.
plex are indicative of different MICs for the tested mycobacterial strains. To avoid this discrepancy, cosine coefficients $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ obtained as the ratios $\mathrm{S}_{0} / \mathrm{g}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathrm{S}_{s} / \mathrm{g}(\mathbf{A})$ should be taken into consideration. Generally, the more the value of the cosine coefficient is close to 1 , the more the profile of the evaluated compound relatively agrees with that of the "ideal" drug. As follows from Tables $V$ and $V$, the values of the cosine coefficient $k_{0}$

Table VI
Complex criteria $S$, norm $g(\mathbf{A})$, cosine coefficients $k$ (see the text), and $\log P$ for 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benz- oxazine-2,4(3H )-diones 2

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $g(A)$ | $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ | $\log P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 days / 21 days of incubation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a | 1.740 | -0.125 | -0.125 | 0.249 | 1.758 | 0.990 | -0.071 | -0.071 | 0.142 | 3.988 |
|  | 1.390 | 0.123 | -0.246 | 0.123 | 1.412 | 0.985 | 0.087 | -0.174 | 0.087 |  |
| 2b | 2.090 | 0.002 | -0.372 | 0.370 | 2.133 | 0.980 | 0.001 | -0.174 | 0.174 | 4.455 |
|  | 1.916 | 0.125 | -0.249 | 0.125 | 1.932 | 0.992 | 0.064 | -0.129 | 0.064 |  |
| 2c | 2.779 | -0.117 | 0.234 | -0.117 | 2.789 | 0.996 | -0.042 | 0.084 | -0.042 | 4.779 |
|  | 2.614 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.614 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |
| 2d | 2.090 | 0.002 | -0.372 | 0.370 | 2.133 | 0.980 | 0.001 | -0.174 | 0.174 | 3.735 |
|  | 1.740 | -0.125 | -0.493 | 0.618 | 1.859 | 0.936 | -0.067 | -0.265 | 0.332 |  |
| 2 e | 2.771 | 0.240 | 0.240 | -0.480 | 2.813 | 0.985 | 0.085 | 0.085 | -0.171 | 4.506 |
|  | 2.606 | 0.006 | 0.357 | -0.363 | 2.639 | 0.988 | 0.002 | 0.135 | -0.138 |  |
| $2 f$ | 3.806 | -0.123 | 0.246 | -0.123 | 3.814 | 0.998 | -0.032 | 0.064 | -0.032 | 5.024 |
|  | 3.632 | 0.000 | 0.369 | -0.369 | 3.657 | 0.993 | 0.000 | 0.101 | -0.101 |  |
| $2 g^{\text {a }}$ | 2.953 | -0.240 | 0.480 | -0.240 | 2.992 | 0.987 | -0.080 | 0.160 | -0.080 | 4.506 |
| 2i | 1.916 | -0.249 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 1.932 | 0.992 | -0.129 | 0.064 | 0.064 | 4.127 |
|  | 1.740 | -0.125 | 0.249 | -0.125 | 1.758 | 0.990 | -0.071 | 0.142 | -0.071 |  |
| 2j | 2.945 | 0.117 | -0.234 | 0.117 | 2.954 | 0.997 | 0.040 | -0.079 | 0.040 | 3.941 |
|  | 2.440 | 0.123 | -0.246 | 0.123 | 2.452 | 0.995 | 0.050 | -0.100 | 0.050 |  |
| 2k | 3.111 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.111 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.941 |
|  | 2.945 | 0.117 | 0.117 | -0.234 | 2.954 | 0.997 | 0.040 | 0.040 | -0.079 |  |

[^1]Table VII
Antimicrobial activity of salicylanilides $\mathbf{1}$

Inhibition zone, mm


| B. subtilis | $A$ | $15 p$ | $13 /$ | 0 | $13 /$ | 11 | 12.5 | $12 P$ | $12 P$ | $A 13$ | 17 | 0 | 31 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ATCC 663 16p 15p


| S. aureus | 16 P | A | 23 | 0 | 20 | 17.5 | 23 | 17 | $13 P$ | 15 | 21 | 0 | 33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG 511 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| P. aeruginosa <br> K799/61 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 36 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| P. aeruginosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K799/WT | 0 | $14 p$ | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | $18 p$ | $18 p$ | $13 P$ | 10 | 0 | 32 |


| P. aeruginosa | 0 | $15 p$ | 11 | 0 | 10.5 | 0 | 11.5 | 0 | 0 | 10.5 | 11 | 0 | 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| S. marcescens | $10 P$ | $11 P$ | 11.5 | 0 | 11.5 | $10 P$ | 11.5 | 11.5 | $10.5 P$ | $11.5 p$ | 12 | 0 | $18 p$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| P. aeruginosa | 0 | $16 p$ | 10.5 | 0 | 10.5 | 0 | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.5 | 0 | 24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| K. pneumoniae | 0 | $12 p$ | 14 | 0 | 14 | $10.5 P$ | 13.5 | $11 P$ | 0 | $12 P$ | 14 | 0 | $16 p$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| E. coli | 0 | 13.5 | 10 | 0 | 10.5 | 0 | 10 | A | 0 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| S. meltophilia | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | A | 0 | 11 | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GN 12873 | $10 p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| E. coli | 0 | $12 P$ | 10.5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10.5 | A | 0 | $11 P$ | 11 | 0 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DC 0 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| E. coli | A | 19 | 14 | 0 | $14 /$ | A | 13.5 | $13 P$ | A | $13 P$ | $14 /$ |  | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DC 2 |  |  |  |  | $25 P$ | 0 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| M. smegmatis | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 18 | $16 P$ | $A$ | $16 P$ | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { S. salmonicolor } & 14 \mathrm{p} & 13 \mathrm{P} & 15.5 & 0 & 17 & \text { A } & 17 & 16 & 13 & 13 P & 17 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
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[^2](0.936-1.000) justify the conclusion that the compounds represent broad-spectrum antimycobacterial agents. As can be seen for compounds $\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{1 e}$, and $\mathbf{2 c}$ (results after 21 days of incubation), compounds with identical susceptibility to all considered strains have $\mathrm{k}_{0}=1$ and, simultaneously, $k_{1}=k_{2}=k_{3}=0$. Ranking of the values of the cosine coefficients $k_{1}-k_{3}$ gives the order of susceptibilities to individual mycobacterial strains, where the maximum value indicates the most susceptible strain. For example, values of $k_{2}$ after 21 days of incubation indicate that compounds $\mathbf{1 g}, \mathbf{2 e}, \mathbf{2 f}, \mathbf{2 g}$, and $\mathbf{2 i}$ exhibit a better efficacy against $M$. kansasii than against M. tuberculosis and $M$. avium. If two strains are equally susceptible, the respective cosine coefficients have the same value, which is positive if the other strain is less susceptible, or negative if the other strain is more susceptible. It is worth noting that the sum of all but one cosine coefficient $k_{s}$ taken with the opposite sign is equal to the remaining cosine coeficient $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

Salicylanilides $\mathbf{1}$ and 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H )-diones $\mathbf{2}$ were also assessed for their ability to inhibit the growth of other microoganisms using the agar diffusion method, specified in DAB 9, at $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ (ref. ${ }^{22}$ ) (Tables VII and VIII). Information about the influence of the penetrability of the compounds into the bacterial cell on their antibacterial activity is obtained by a comparison of the activity of "wild" type strain E. coli DC 0 and P. aeruginosa K799/WT with their penetration mutants DC 2 and K799/61 in antimicrobial assays. Stronger inhibition of the penetration mutants means hindered penetrability. A small difference between the inhibition of the penetration mutant and the "wild" type indicates good penetrability.

Table VIII
Antimicrobial activity of 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2

| Microorganism | Inhibition zone, mm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2a | 2b | 2c | 2d | 2 e | $2 f$ | 2 g | 2h | $2 \mathbf{i}$ | 2j | 2k | 21 |
| S. aureus $\text { SG } 511$ | 0 | 0 | A | 0 | 14P | 18.5 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 17 | 0 |
| M. smegmatis SG 987 | 0 | 0 | 17P | 0 | 16P | 16 | 16p | 18P | 0 | 18P | 17 | 0 |

A, Indication of inhibition; $p$, colonies in the inhibition zone; $P$, a number of colonies in the inhibition zone.

Table IX
QSAR for salicylanilides 1. MICs after 14 days (1) or 21 days (2) of incubation;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log 1 / \mathrm{MIC}(\text { M ycobacterium }) & =a \sigma+b \pi+c \quad \text { (a) } \\
\log 1 / \mathrm{MIC}(\text { M ycobacterium }) & =a \sigma+b \log P+c
\end{aligned}
$$

| M ycobacterium | Relationship | a | b | c | $r$ | s | F | n | Eq. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. tuberculosis My 331/88 | 1 a | 0.840 | 0.212 | -1.732 | 0.970 | 0.119 | 73.37 | 12 | (13) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.082$ | $\pm 0.070$ | $\pm 0.045$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1b | 0.886 | 0.245 | -2.459 | 0.962 | 0.134 | 56.10 | 12 | (14) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.072$ | $\pm 0.099$ | $\pm 0.328$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 a | 0.757 | 0.198 | -1.762 | 0.971 | 0.107 | 73.14 | 12 | (15) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.074$ | $\pm 0.063$ | $\pm 0.04$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2b | 0.800 | 0.230 | -2.445 | 0.962 | 0.121 | 56.38 | 12 | (16) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.081$ | $\pm 0.096$ | $\pm 0.318$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. kansasii | 1 a | 0.655 | 0.670 | -2.017 | 0.939 | 0.215 | 33.46 | 12 | (17) |
| My 235/80 |  | $\pm 0.149$ | $\pm 0.127$ | $\pm 0.081$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1b | $0.783$ | 0.927 | -4.809 | 0.949 | 0.197 | 40.62 | 12 | (18) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.132$ | $\pm 0.158$ | $\pm 0.519$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2a | 1.226 | 0.457 | -2.181 | 0.937 | 0.226 | 25.04 | 10 | (19) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.244$ | $\pm 0.149$ | $\pm 0.106$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2b | 1.230 | 0.573 | -3.853 | 0.927 | 0.243 | 21.26 | 10 | (20) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.263$ | $\pm 0.213$ | $\pm 0.697$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. kansasii | 1 a | 0.907 | 0.507 | -1.891 | 0.946 | 0.169 | 34.23 | 11 | (21) |
| 6509/96 |  | $\pm 0.157$ | $\pm 0.101$ | $\pm 0.077$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1b | 0.886 | 0.666 | -3.842 | 0.937 | 0.182 | 28.96 | 11 | (22) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.170$ | $\pm 0.146$ | $\pm 0.479$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 a | 0.965 | 0.562 | -2.041 | 0.938 | 0.198 | 29.23 | 11 | (23) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.184$ | $\pm 0.118$ | $\pm 0.090$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2b | 0.949 | 0.703 | -4.091 | 0.913 | 0.233 | 19.92 | 11 | (24) |
|  |  | $\pm 0.218$ | $\pm 0.187$ | $\pm 0.614$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table IX (Continued)

The values following $\pm$ sign are standard errors. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Table V.

In contrast to salicylanilides 1, 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)diones 2 were essentially inactive in the same antimicrobial assays. Only two out of fourteen strains, namely Staphylococcus aureus SG 511 and, as expected, M. smegmatis SG 987, were susceptible to compounds 2c, 2e-2h, 2j, and $\mathbf{2 k}$ (Table VIII). Moreover, compounds $\mathbf{2 g}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$ inhibited the growth of B. subtilis ATCC 6633 (inhibition zone 12 mm in diameter with a number of colonies) and the mutant strain of E. coli DC 2 gave an indication of inhibition by the same compounds (inhibition zone of 13.5 mm ). As iron depletion is one of the defense mechanisms found in the infected host, growth inhibition screening has also been performed against Mycobacterium smegmatis SG 987 cultured in the assay medium supplemented with 2,2'-bis(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2,2'-(ethylenediimino)diacetic acid (EDDHA, 50 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{I})$ as an iron chelator. Interestingly, some compounds, e.g. 1c, 1e, and $\mathbf{1 k}$, as well as $\mathbf{2 g}, \mathbf{2 h}$, and $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{k}$, showed increased activity under these conditions.

Table X
QSAR for 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2. MICs after 14 days (1) or 21 days (2) of incubation;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log 1 / \mathrm{MIC}(\text { M ycobacterium })=a \sigma+b \pi+c \quad \text { (a) } \\
& \log 1 / \mathrm{MIC}(\text { M ycobacterium })=a \sigma+b \log P+c \quad \text { (b) }
\end{aligned}
$$

| M ycobacterium | Relationship | a | b | c | $r$ | S | F | n | Eq. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. tuberculosis My 331/88 | 1 a | $\begin{array}{r} 0.826 \\ \pm 0.219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.273 \\ \pm 0.142 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.873 \\ & \pm 0.110 \end{aligned}$ | 0.865 | 0.234 | 10.38 | 10 | (33) |
|  | 1b | $\begin{array}{r} 0.826 \\ \pm 0.235 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.313 \\ \pm 0.203 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.084 \\ & \pm 0.869 \end{aligned}$ | 0.844 | 0.25 | 8.64 | 10 | (34) |
|  | 2 a | $\begin{array}{r} 0.829 \\ \pm 0.131 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.360 \\ \pm 0.085 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.006 \\ & \pm 0.066 \end{aligned}$ | 0.952 | 0.141 | 33.80 | 10 | (35) |
|  | 2b | $\begin{array}{r} 0.820 \\ \pm 0.152 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.451 \\ \pm 0.132 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.768 \\ & \pm 0.562 \end{aligned}$ | 0.936 | 0.162 | 24.67 | 10 | (36) |
| M. kansasii My 235/80 | 12 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.973 \\ \pm 0.186 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.567 \\ \pm 0.120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.994 \\ & \pm 0.094 \end{aligned}$ | 0.945 | 0.199 | 29.34 | 10 | (37) |
|  | 1b | $\begin{array}{r} 0.958 \\ \pm 0.219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.715 \\ \pm 0.190 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.787 \\ & \pm 0.811 \end{aligned}$ | 0.924 | 0.233 | 20.38 | 10 | (38) |
|  | 2 a | $\begin{array}{r} 1.041 \\ \pm 0.271 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.651 \\ \pm 0.176 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.172 \\ & \pm 0.137 \end{aligned}$ | 0.910 | 0.290 | 16.89 | 10 | (39) |
|  | 2b | $\begin{array}{r} 1.018 \\ \pm 0.286 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.850 \\ \pm 0.247 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.505 \\ +7.057 \end{array}$ | 0.901 | 0.304 | 15.01 | 10 | (40) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M. kansasii } \\ & \text { 6509/96 } \end{aligned}$ | 12 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.962 \\ \pm 0.201 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.500 \\ \pm 0.13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.839 \\ & \pm 0.101 \end{aligned}$ | 0.929 | 0.216 | 22.17 | 10 | (41) |
|  | 1b | $\begin{array}{r} 0.943 \\ \pm 0.211 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.658 \\ \pm 0.183 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.418 \\ & \pm 0.781 \end{aligned}$ | 0.923 | 0.225 | 20.11 | 10 | (42) |
|  | 2 a | $\begin{array}{r} 0.998 \\ \pm 0.224 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.489 \\ \pm 0.146 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.992 \\ & \pm 0.113 \end{aligned}$ | 0.916 | 0.240 | 18.31 | 10 | (43) |
|  | 2b | $\begin{array}{r} 0.984 \\ \pm 0.245 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.619 \\ \pm 0.212 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.411 \\ & \pm 0.907 \end{aligned}$ | 0.900 | 0.261 | 14.96 | 10 | (44) |
| Complex criteria $\mathrm{S}_{0}{ }^{a}$ | 1 a | $\begin{array}{r} 1.322 \\ \pm 0.229 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.553 \\ \pm 0.148 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.014 \\ \pm 0.115 \end{array}$ | 0.942 | 0.245 | 27.74 | 10 | (45) |
|  | 1 b | $\begin{array}{r} 1.318 \\ \pm 0.276 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.655 \\ \pm 0.240 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.532 \\ & +1.025 \end{aligned}$ | 0.915 | 0.295 | 18.08 | 10 | (46) |
|  | 2a | $\begin{array}{r} 1.295 \\ \pm 0.242 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.702 \\ \pm 0.157 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.726 \\ \pm 0.122 \end{array}$ | 0.944 | 0.259 | 28.75 | 10 | (47) |
|  | 2b | $\begin{array}{r} 1.284 \\ \pm 0.297 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.860 \\ \pm 0.258 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.627 \\ & +1.101 \end{aligned}$ | 0.916 | 0.316 | 18.15 | 10 | (48) |

The values following $\pm$ sign are standard errors. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Table V.

For the quantitative evaluation of the structure-antimycobacterial activity relationships, two-parameter regression equations were employed. The Hammett constant $\sigma$ of the substituent on the phenyl ring served as one parameter, while the second parameter was the hydrophobic constant $\pi$ of the same substituent or the cal culated log $P$ value. Only those equations for salicylanilides 1 and 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2 that are statistically significant at the $95 \%$ level or better are listed in Tables IX and $X$, respectively. No significant correlation could be found for activity of 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones $\mathbf{2}$ against M. avium. Regardless of that, highly significant correlation equations were obtained between the same parameters and the complex criteria $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ which are linear combinations of three antimycobacterial activities not only for the salicylanilides $\mathbf{1}$ (Eqs (29)-(32)) but also for 3-phenyl-2H-1,3-benz- oxazine-2,4(3H)-diones 2 (Eqs (45)-(48)). The antimycobacterial activities of both groups of compounds, $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, increase with increasing electron-withdrawing properties and with increasing hydrophobicity of the substituents on the phenyl ring (relationships (a)) or calculated $\log \mathrm{P}$ (relationships (b)). Since the relationships (a) have higher correlation coefficients, they are considered to be more significant than the relationships (b). The structure-antimycobacterial activity relationships are similar not only in both groups of compounds, $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, but the Eqs (33) and (37) are also consistent ${ }^{30}$ with the relationships for the 6,8 -dihalogeno-3-phenyl- 2 H -1,3-benzoxazine-2,4(3H)-diones ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$. The discrepancy arising from the comparison of the previous results ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}, 5}$ can be explained by the fact that the MICs obtained for different mycobacterial strains (and in different laboratories) were compared.
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